

Application No. 10/673,685  
Amendment "D" dated November 9, 2005  
Reply to Office Action mailed April 5, 2005

### REMARKS

These remarks and the accompanying amendments are responsive to the Office Action made final and dated April 5, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as the "Office Action") and are supplemental to the Request for Continued Examination and Amendment "C" filed October 5, 2005.

The Office Action rejected all of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), and rejected the independent Claims 2 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Advisory Action mailed July 21, 2005 made clear although the claims amendments made in Amendment "B" mailed July 5, 2005 were entered of record, and has overcome the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection, that the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection remains. It is respectfully submitted that the accompanying amendments overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph issues.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,



ADRIAN J. LEE  
Registration No. 42,785  
Attorneys for Applicants  
Customer No. 022913

AJL:ds  
AJL0000000931V001